App F IX 4

1928 (MC)

File No 126-28

Serial Nos. 20622

Previous References
Jul 168 25 C (1616)
" 121 88 (35647)
" 126-28 C (9410)
" 126-28 (11-12)
" 126-28 (3,14 17613)
Later References
JP 26-28 (1-2 32)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos 3

Part B for April 1931

SUBJECT

Construction of a Town Hall at Srikurmapatna.

Jul 731

Serial No	
20	Letter no Sl e 4772/28-30 Dy 15-5 from the Pdt, RDD, Bezwada advertg to this Office letter no L 6277/Rel 126-28-19 Dy 26-2-1930 regarding the payment of contribution of Rs 8000/- by the Bd towards the construction of the Town Hall at Chennapatna and stating that the Bd in their meeting held on 29-3-1930 have resolved that a sum of Rs 2000/- has already been paid and they are not in a position to reconsider their resolution of 31st May 192
V	1) The Bd having requested re-consideration of the first order regarding the payment of contribution of Rs 8000/- by the Bd towards the construction of the Town Hall, they were informed in this Office letter no L 6277/Rel 126-28- Dy 24-2 1930 that the order could not be reconsidered and that the amount should be paid as has been ordered without any further representation
V	2) The Board have again resolved that they are not in a position to reconsider their resolution of 31-1-May 19 by to the effect that it is not possible to pay more than Rs 2000
V	3) The financial position of the Bd is such, but they are persistent in their resolution of 31 & 29 Called for orders

RCMR
10/6/1930

Note—Contn Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30 000—20 4 30

General and Revenue Secretariat

6065

ml File No 126 — App F III—21 of 1928

Serial No	
21	Letter no 14933 dt 2-6-30 from the Ch Engr forwarding a copy of letter no 132 dt 20/21-5-30 received from the Supdg Engr, Mysore circle re: revising the estimates for a Town Hall at Chennapatna and requesting that necessary instructions may be issued to the pdt, WFBd, Bangalore in the matter of the payment of the sum of Rs 8000/- still due by the Bd in respect of the work
	4) It is stated by the Supdg Engr in his letter to the Ch Engr that the work has been completed but the payment of the final bill of the contractor has been unnecessarily delayed. Submd
	[illegible] 10/6/30
	12
	6) In G O no L 6457-60/ml 168-25-16 dt 16-4-1928, Govt sanctioned an estimate for Rs 24106 for the construction of a Town Hall at Chennapatna, the cost of the work being met in equal proportions by the State, the Bangalore WFBd and the Channapatna mcl
	7) The pdt, WFBd was asked in this Office letter no L 3692 ml 126 28-4 dt 20-11 28 to place the WF Fund share of the cost of the work viz Rs 8000/- at the disposal of the chief Engr immediately and submit

Margin note:) Mean [illegible] a short [illegible] of how [illegible] keeping [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 15/6

Ⓑ Ⓜ Ⓑ Ⓗ Ⓘ Ⓒ Ⓞ Ⓚ

Note—Contn Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30 000—20-4-29

Serial No

a report of action for the information of Govt

8) Appln and the pdt Sangat orders on the resolution of the WDBd to contribute Rs 2 0000/- for the hospital, whereupon his attention was drawn to the G.O. of April 1928 fixing the sum of Rs 8 0000/ as quota payable by the WDBd and he was asked to arrange to place half the amount at the disposal of the Chief Engr at once and the balance next year

8

9) The pdt, WDBd again wanted a re-consideration of the orders already passed the contention of the WDBd was that no contribution should be paid to a building which has to be built on a municipal Town, as the Local public can derive little or no benefit out of it on this, a reply was sent on this Office letter no C 657 dy 24-7-29 that orders passed can not be re considered and that arrangements may be made to pay the amount in two instalments

10) Mrs Jr no 20 There r many occasions in which a Town or a club Theatre will be utilized by the Govt officials during Inquiry Conferences & Tourist Conferences &c

R

11) The pdt again submitted a copy of the WDBds' resolution dy 31-8-29 to the effect that it is not possible to pay more than Rs 20000/- for the Town Hall and requested a reconsideration of the orders in the matter communicated in this Office letter no C 24 dy 24-7-29 whereupon it was replied that no case no reason

Note—Contn Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30 000—20-4 29

Serial No	
Q	to reconsider the orders already passed and the pdt was requested to arrange
for	the payt the amount already passed very early
	12) In his l.c. no 6413 dy 21-11-29 the chief Engr brought to the notice that on
	spite of the instructions of Govt, the W.T.Bd were not willing to contribute more than Rs 2000/- for the work and requested that
	the Bd may be instructed to pay their
P	contribution early & copy of the chief Engrs letter was sent to the pdt for necessary action and early report the pdt represented the resolution
	of the Bd dated 31-8-1929 to the effect
	that it is not possible to pay more than
	Rs 2000/- and requested for a reconsideration
	of the orders already passed on this, a
	P reply was sent in this Offce letter no L
N	6277 dy 14-2-30 stating that order of
	Govt was passed after careful consideration
	and could not be reconsidered and
	requesting that the amount may be paid
	without any further representation
	13th-2nd The Paper under disposal (1) the pdt
	states that the Bd in their meeting held
	on 29-3-30 have resolved that a sum of
	Rs 2000 has already been paid and they
	are not in a position to reconsider their
	resolution of 31st Aug 1929 and the Govt had
	the chief Engr is pressing for the payment
	of the sum of Rs 2000 still due by the Bd.

WD 01684—GPB—30 000—20-4 29

Serial No

for the work

13) It is seen from the above that notwithstanding the general instructions from first that arrangement should be made to keep the quota free the Dt Bd very soon after the instructions from Simla that the Dt Bd of Bangalore are persistent in their request that it is not possible to keep more than Rs 2000/- and they are not heeding the orders of first on the matter the financial position of the Dt Bd is satisfactory they had an amount of Rs 4,53,353 under investment under different items on 1st July 1929 they can afford to keep the quota fixed Now they may be asked to keep the work advanced by the work already

6 had for orders

Under 1930 14) Section 45 read with section 21 (5) of the Dt Bds Regn authorises the Govt to call upon the Dt Bd to carry out the work and estimated sums have been received to the Bd for constructing towards the work the estimates and have been approved no need of further action has now to be taken under Section 45 and the Dt Treasury Officer who is the officer in charge of the Dt Fund directed to keep the amount

Note—Contn Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30 000—20-4 29

D 6065

App F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No 126 of 1925

Serial No

from the ST Bill whether in the existing Bijora Act as amended the Law sought may be obtained.

23/6/30 15) Action under Sec 96 may perhaps be taken (Gen Secy)

25 6 30

16) Matter taken for favour of early action thereon

26/6/30

Law Secy with compts

209 Law

6338/25/6

Note—Contn Sheet

WD 02308 -GPB—10 000—22 4 30

Serial No

Letter No 3718 dy 8-9 30 from Engineer states that Rs 6000 due from the N'Cove Dt Bd towards its contribution for the Town Hall at Chennapatna has not yet been paid & requests that the Comptroller may be authorised to adjust the amount from the balance at the credit of the Board

17) The previous file on the subject has been called for from the Law Secy for opinion on 27-6 30 the above may be called for early after return of the file

12-9 30

18) yes

13.9 30

D bobs

NOTE – Contn Sheet

WD 0 553— 0 000—GPB—8-7 0

File No | of 19 | General and Revenue Secretariat

L4

No 6904

Enc

Public Works Department, L2327
Central Office 6-11-30.

Dated Bangalore 5-11-1930.

From M G Rangaiya, Esq, B A ,, B L , M R San I , M An A.L ,
Chief Engineer of Mysore
Bangalore

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esq , B A , B I MR127-29
General Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore

Subject - Construction of a Town Hall at Chennapatna

Sir,

I have the honour to invite reference to this office No 3768 dated the 8th September 1930 and to request the favour being informed if orders have since been issued to the Comptroller of Mysore for the adjustment of the sum of Rs 6,000/- due from the President, District Board, Bangalore, for the above work

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Chief Engineer of Mysore

6/11/30

Inv 1 N

19) The main file was sent to the Law Secty for opinion on 13 9 30 (1304 L/MR127-29) This may be treated as Contd for the return of the main file with legal opinion.

11/11/30

20) Returned with compliments

11 11 30

11/11

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 193

Serial No

Flag 'V'

2)
The question is whether the District Board, Bangalore can be compelled to contribute Rs 8000/- towards the costs incurred for the construction of a Town Hall at Channapatna

2-2) In G O No 6457-60/Rll 168-25-16 dated 16th April 1928, Government directed that the Board should contribute the sum mentioned on two grounds -

1) That the proposed construction is one falling within the purview of sec 45(1)(n) of the Dt Bd Regn

& 2) That ~~as~~ the surplus balances of the Channapatna Taluk Bd amounting to about Rs 13000/- odd have been credited to the Dt Bd.

2-3) It is submitted that both the reasons appear to be insufficient in law, to make it obligatory on the Dt Bd to incur the expenditure in question and consequently ~~action~~ Coercive action under sec 96 of the Dt Bd Regn cannot be taken, since such action is possible only if the Board has failed to perform "any duty imposed on it by or under this Regulation."

Note—Contn Sheet

WD 02328 -GPB—10 000 -22 4 30

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 193

Serial No

24) Section 45 (1) (n) of the Dt Bd Regn imposes the duty of constructing & maintaining buildings of public ~~utility~~ utility only "within the area subject to the authority" of the Dt Bd concerned. Now under sec 4, the area within a Municipality is explicitly excluded from the jurisdiction of the Dt Bd and it therefore follows, that the Bangalore Dt Bd has no duty to either construct or maintain ~~or to expend its funds for such construction~~ a town hall within the Channapatna municipality or to expend its funds for such purpose (Vide sec 65 of the Regn).

25) Again the balances of the Channapatna Taluk Board have vested in the Dt Bd under sec 43 of the Dt Bd Regn - & they are therefore "subject to all rights, if any, existing over and all debts & liabilities & obligations, if any affecting such property". Now under sec 4 of the Local Board Regulation VI of 1918, a municipal area ~~is to be excluded from~~ lies outside the area under the authority of a Taluk Board and under sec 27(2) of the same Regn, the Taluk Board was

NOTE—Co t i Sheet

WD 02 53—G P B—50 000—8 33

3065 pt 30 6065 3378

File No of 193 | General and Revenue Secretariat

under no obligation to spend its funds within a municipal area - Consequently the Talaimannar Board funds in question have vested in the Bangalore District Board under Regulation III of 1926, without any obligation to spend them within the Chemmapatna municipality.

209
Law (1929)

26) In view of these difficulties, it appears to be impossible to legally enforce the liability imposed by the G.O. of 16.4.1928 on the Bangalore D.C. Board -

Legal Rx:

DRG
18/3

27) The principle laid down in S. 45(1) governs every clause enumerated under it, and it is not sound to contend that cl. (n) abrogates the governing principle contained in S. 45(1).

28) If there was no obligation on the Talaimannar B.C. at the time of its abolition, how is the D.C. Board to discharge an obligation which was non-existent?

S. 96 is a drastic provision, and the cases contemplated under it cannot be said to include the present instance

RECEIVED AT THE SECRETARIAT 23 DEC 1930 No 6387

RECEIVED AT THE SECRETARIAT 9 JAN 1931 3369

RG 25/12

(Mr. Member

30) Was not the D.C. Board consulted before it was decided to impose the charge on it? If so, why was no provision made in the annual estimates?

RG 9/1/31.12

3378

App F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Rel File No 126 of 1928

Serial No

17 MAR 1931 No 3786

3986

31) The Col-Sec was consulted & declined to make any grant for the purpose (vide plays A & H in rel file 168-25)

32) If a contribution from the Col-Sec is not enforceable, the rel cannot well have to make up the amount from their own funds, and as the finances of the rel el are not satisfactory the charge has to be borne equally by the Govt and the rel el in equal proportions This involves an additional grant of Rs 3000 from the State funds

33) Kindly refer to the Members minute — para 30 of the note supra

Govt 15/3/31 3rd Member) VS 16/3/31

34) The matter may be considered at a meeting) Cannot

36) I am afraid it is not possible to compel the St Board to pay the amount

36) Does this Dept receive any Contribution from Govt for any purpose?

Govt 21/3/31 NDO

Dewan

Govt 21/3/31 VS

Govt 24/3/31 VS

Govt 21/3/31

NOTE—Contn Sheet

(000—GPB—87)

3378

Rel File No	126	of 1938	General and Revenue Secretaria[t]

37) The Bungalow work recommended an annual allotment of Rs 3500 from Govt for Trunk maintenance of road & mules around Bungalow Rs 3500 agreed during this year (1930-31). A grant for Economic development of Rs 1250 has also been made vide infra reference to para 36 ante

DEV 6179 0.C.1.31

27/1/31 Submitted to Dewan.

28-1-31

38) The General Secy will please speak to me about this

29-1-31

39) Took instructions from the Dewan

31/1/31

40) Submitted

27/1/31

41) Branch to be kept open for receipt of any other communications from the Press

5/3/31

Sub B

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No 1 2b 4724/39 of 1930

Serial No

2/

A

At the time of dispatch on the 25th inst, it was brought to the notice of the 3rd member that the comptroller had adjusted the amount in the accounts without the assistance of the Consultant engineer and that the file may be put up for orders and it is now awaiting for orders

AS
30/3/31 (Gen Secy) VS 31.3.31

from the Chief Engr in Mysore no 14719 dt 30 3 31 stating that the question is pending for a long time & requesting early orders in the matter as the Contractor may claim interest on the amount if it is not paid within a reasonable period

The file will be submitted for orders after the return of the 3rd Member from leave, as ordered by the Secy at A

WB 2/4 AS 3rd Member) VS 6 4 31

Please see file
[illegible] 7-4-31
[illegible] after leave
[illegible] 7/4/31

OFF THE ... 6 APR 1931 16550

There was a packet after the 3rd member's return RB 2/4

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 0 5 3—1000—GPE—8-7

L7214

File No | of 193 | General and Revenue Secretariat

The file may be sent to my Accountant in office for kindly stating whether the amount has been adjusted

2/4/31 Gen Secy 7 4 31

Yes
8/4/31.

Forwarded a o with Compts to the Comptroller to Govt for favor of remarks

By Order,
V Subrahmanyasastri
for Gen Secy to Govt
8th April 1931

U O No 135
8-4-31

U O No 21 LAD 3 31

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE 9th April 31 509 Spl BANGALORE

U O.No 843/LAD dated 11th April 1931, U O 385 Spl 11 4 31

Returned with compliments

The adjustment was made in the accounts of the State Huzur Treasury, Bangalore, on 13th January 1931

OFFICE OF THE THE M O IL 16 APR 1931 6995

For Offg Comptroller

Refer 3rd member's minute 9/3 11/4 CV
Secy. 6 4 31

IIIrd member 6/4/31 (Members orders) P.T.

47824

App F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 193

Serial No

If the Bt Board agrees to the adjustment I am afraid for reasons already noted in the file the Agreement will have to be sustained They have no right to the Contractor's very urgent claim may be adjusted and for any work any further representation the Board may have to make

DD 13 11

R
17/463.

RB
17/413 1

B
20/4

NOTE—Co tn Sl eet

WD 025 3)000—GPB—8-7 0

File No of 193

General and Revenue Secretariat

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 193

Serial No

Note on the contribution required of the Bangalore District Board for the construction of the Town Hall at Channapatna

H In G O No 6407-60/M1 168-2-16 dated the 16th April 1928 Government sarctioned an estimate of Rs 24 106/- for the construction of a Town Hall at Channapatna The Government agreed to make a grant of 1/3rd of the cost The share of the Municipal Council was also fixed at 1/3rd ~~of the estimate~~, and Government granted a loan of Rs 8 000/- for meeting the Municipal quota The District Board was requested by the Deputy Commissioner to grant a sum of Rs 8 000/- for the building but the District Board did not agree to make any contribution (vide resolution of the District Board dated the 11th ~~December~~ January 1928) The Law Secretary was consulted as to whether the District Board may be --- compelled to contribute funds for the Town Hall and he was of opinion that the Board may be so compelled The then First Member on 6th April 1928 recorded the opinion that the District Board having taken the balance of the ~~District~~ Taluk Board is morally and ~~xxx~~ legally bound to pay and orders were issued directing the District Board to contribute the remaining one third of the cost amounting to Rs 8 000/-

The President was asked in letter No 3692/M1 126-28-4 dated the 20th November 1928 to place the District Board contribution for the work at the disposal of the Chief Engineer In reply the President stated that the Board at their meeting dated the 26th ~~Septem~~ Nov 1928

NOTE—Cont Sheet

WD 02 53—G P B—50 000—8 7 30

29th September 1928 resolved to contribute Rs 2 000/- for the work There upon the attention of the President was ~~limited~~ invited on 14th December 1928 to the --- orders already issued requiring the Board to contribute Rs 8 000/- and requesting him to arrange to pay the contribution during 1928-29 and the balance during 29-30 On 11th June 1929 the President requested that ~~the~~ orders of the Government requiring the Board to contribute Rs 8 000/- may be reconsidered and the grant of Rs 2 000/- offered by the Board ~~approved~~ accepted The President was informed in reply that the orders already passed cannot be reconsidered The Board again resolved on 31st August 1929 that it is not possible to pay more than Rs 2,000/- The President was informed that Government saw no reason to reconsider the order already passed The President -- having been on a reference received from the Chief Engineer, requested on 9th December 1929 for early payment of the District Board contribution the -- Board resolved on 30th November 1929 that Government be requested to reconsider the former resolution of the Board and on receipt of this resolution the -- President was again informed that the order already passed cannot be reconsidered Thereupon the -- President on 15th May 1930 informed Government that the Board on 29th March 1930 resolved that they cannot reconsider the resolution already passed The amount of Rs 2 000/-agreed to be contributed by -- the Board has been paid and the Law Secretary, who was consulted in the matter, is of opinion that the liability to contribute Rs 8 000/- imposed by the Government is not legally enforceable

As submitted a note as orally directed by the Secy

31/1/31

MYSORE PUBLIC WORKS
DEPARTMENT

From 4026

The Chief Engineer of Mysore

To
The General Secretary
to Government,
Bangalore

12553

Dated Bangalore 13th Feb 1931

SUBJECT

Enquiring to ascertain early orders whether the proposal for the Adjustment of the amount due from the District Board for the construction of the Town Hall at Chennapatna

No 3768 dated 8-9-30

Last
Subsequent reminder

No 9801 dated 24-12-30

SIR

I have the honour to invite reference to the communication noted on the margin and to request the favour of an early reply thereto

I have the honour to be,
Sir
Your most obedient servant,

For Chief Engineer of Mysore.

WD 989—GPS—8,000—10-11-27

B—Should rout ne notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form

18-2-31

19-2-31

G R S III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES

[To be used only for notes requisitions etc not intended for permanent record]

B bhouldroutine notes be wr tten on small slips the notes must b cipoed or slips pasted on th s form

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
BANGALORE DISTRICT BOARD

No §/C4772/29 30 Bangalore 15th May 1930

From

B ABDUR RAHMON ESQ B A
PRESIDENT DISTRICT BOARD
BANGALORE

To

R Ranga Rao Esqr, B A, B L,
General Secretary to Government,
Bangalore

Through - The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District

SIR

With reference to your letter No L 6977/A1 126-28-19 dated 24th February 1930 regarding the payment of contribution of R 8,000/- by the Board towards the construction of the Town Hall at Channapatna, I have the honour to state that the matter was placed before the Standing Committee and they recommended the payment of the amount The Board in their meeting held on 29th March 1930 have resolved that a sum of R 2,000/- has already been paid and they are not in a position to reconsider their resolution passed by them in this behalf on 31st August 1929 A copy of their resolution in the matter is enclosed herewith

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

B A Rahmon

P r e s i d e n t,
District Board

Democracy is a system of Government
recognition of sovereignty when it
tells intelligently

19? —2

From

THE PRESIDENT

BANGALORE DISTRICT

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No

No

Enclosure No

Proceedings of the Eleventh Ordinary Meeting of the Bangalore District Board, Bangalore held on 29th day of March 1930

8 Letter No L 6277-M1 126-28-19, dated 24th February 1930 from the General Secretary to Government, intimating that it is not possible to reconsider the Goverrment Order already passed in the matter of the payment of contribution of R 8,000/- by the Board towards the construction of the Town Hall at Channapatna

Resolution of the Standing Committee - We recommend to the Board that the amount may be paid

RESOLUTION - The Board cannot reconsider their resolution already passed

Sd/- B Abdur Rahman,
President,
District Board

"Copy"
B A Rahman
President District Board
Bangalore District

19? —2

From

THE PRESIDENT

BANGALORE DISTRICT BOARD

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No

No

Enclosure No

No 1423

Enc

Public Works Department,

Central Office

Dated Bangalore 2nd June 1930

Ml 126-28

GEN & REVENUE SECTT. 6066 2 JUNE 1930 L & C BRANCH

From Rajaseva Sakta
J BHORE Esq AMICE, Etc
Chief Engineer of Mysore
Bangalore

To

R RANGA RAO, Esq , B A., B L ,
General Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
BANGALORE

PW S/6130

SUBJECT -- CONSTRUCTION OF A TOWN HALL AT CHENNAPATNA

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your Memo No L. 6278 dated 24th February 1930, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of letter No 132 dated 20/21st May 1930 received from the -- Superintending Engineer, Mysore Circle, on the above subject, and to request that necessary instructions may kindly be issued to the President, District Board, Bangalore, in the matter of the payment of the sum of Rs 6,000/- still due by the District Board, for the work

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

30 5 30

CHIEF ENGINEER OF MYSORE

N J P

Letter No 132 dated the 20/21st May 1930,
from the Superintending Engineer, Mysore Circle, to the
Chief Engineer of Mysore, Bangalore

CONSTRUCTING A TOWN HALL AT CHENNAPATNA

With reference to your No 11783 dated 27 3 30, I have the honour to inform you that it is reported by the Executive Engineer that the amount of Rs 6,000/-, still due by the District Board, Bangalore District, has not yet been placed at his disposal The work has been completed but the payment of the final bill of the contractor has been unnecessarily delayed This causes much hardship to the contractor The Comptroller may be kindly requested to adjust the amount due from the balances of the District Board available with him

---COPY---

30 3 30

CHIEF ENGINEER OF MYSORE

N J P

Ml 147 14-30-1

Public Works Department,

No 3768 Central Office

Enc Dated Bangalore, 8 September 1930

From M G RANGAIYA, Esq , B A , B L , M Inst C E , M A I A L
[illegible], Esq, A.M.I.C.E., [illegible]
Ag Chief Engineer of Mysore
Bangalore

To

R RANGA RAO, Esq , B A , B L ,
General Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore

GEN & REV SECTN L 1307
10 SEPT 1930
L S G AT Bd

Ml 9136
For RR
8/9/9

CONSTRUCTION OF A TOWN HALL AT CHENNAPATNA

Sir,

With reference to this office letter No 14033 dated the 2nd June 1930, I have the honour to inform you that the Superintending Engineer, Mysore Circle, reports that the sum of Rs 6,000/- due from the President, District Board, Bangalore District, for the above work has not yet been paid, though ordered by Government vide letter No 6277/Ml 126-28-10 dated 24-2-1930 to the President, District Board, Bangalore

Necessary instructions may therefore be kindly issued to the Comptroller, for the very early adjustment of the amount from the balances at the credit of the Board The final bill of the Contractor has been pending for over six months and accounts of the work cannot be closed The Contractor may claim interest on the amount due if it is not paid in a reasonable time

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M G Rangaiya

VVSM AG. CHIEF ENGINEER OF MYSORE

xFG
JECT ..

Urgent

MYSORE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

615

From

L 4396

The Chief Engineer of Mysore

To

The General Secretary to Government, Bangalore

No 13787

Dated Bangalore 11th March 1931

Sir

Subject -
Adjustment of the amount due from the District Board for the construction of the Town Hall at Bhemapatna

SUBJECT

I have the honour to invite reference to the communication noted on the margin and to request the favour of an early reply thereto

No 3768 dated 8-9-30
Last
~~Subsequent~~ reminder

No 12553 dated 13-2-31

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

for Chief Engineer of Mysore

WD 2176—GPB—3 750—5 6 25

Copied

exam

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT

Section MQ

File No 126 28

Serial No L7619

No

No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

193

To The Chief Engr

Sir,

Corresp^ce endings with

with reference to your letter

No 13787/D-11-3 31 re adjustment of the amount due from the Bangalore D.B.D towards the Construction of the Town Hall at Channapatna, I am directed to state that a further Comm^n from the D.B.D Presd in the matter is awaited.

I have &c

16/3/31

for Gen Secy

MB

of issue 17/3/31

d by Mr S Sastri

of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Cover

WD02 50—GPB—25,000—25 30

Misc 126-28

Public Works Department,

Central Office

No 14719

Enc Nil

Dated Bangalore 30 3 1931

GEN REV SEC 4724
31 MAR 193

From M G Rangaiya, Esq , B A , B E ,
M R San I , L & G Adm X E ,
Chief Engineer of Mysore (
Bangalore

To

The General Secretary to Government,

B A N G A L O R E

Sir,

CONSTRUCTION OF THE TOWN HALL AT CHENNAPATNA

With reference to your letter No L 7619/M1 126-28 dated the 17th March 1931, regarding the adjustment of the amount due from the Bangalore District Board towards the above works, I have the honour to inform you that the question is pending for a very long time The contractor may claim interest on the amount if it is not paid within a reasonable period

2 Under the circumstances, I request you to be so good as to cause early orders being issued in the matter

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Chief Engineer of Mysore 30/3/31

Early
30/3/31
N.J.P.
31/3/31

URGENT

No 980

Enc

Ml 126-28-22

Public Works Department,
Central Office

Dated Bangalore 24 December 1930

From M G Rangaiya, Esq, B A, B E, M R San.I, M Am.A E
~~JxBHORExBSQxxxxxxxxxxE~~Etc
Chief Engineer of Mysore
Bangalore

To

The General Secretary to Government,
Bangalore

GEN 3378
30 DEC 1930
L S C BRANCH

Subject - Construction of the Town wall at Chennapatna

Sir,

I have the honour to invite kind reference to letter No Cl. 4772/29-30 dated the 17th May 1930 from the President, District Board Bangalore, to your address and to request you to be so good as to cause early orders of Government to be sent to the Comptroller for the adjustment of the amount due from the District Board for the above work, so that the final bill of the contractor may be paid and the accounts of the work closed

2 The final bill is pending for a long time and the contractor may claim interest on the amount due if it is not paid within a reasonable time, as already intimated to you in this office No 3768 dated the 8th September 1930

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M G Rangaiya
Chief Engineer of Mysore
23/12/30

V.N S

3378

App F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | 2nd File No 126 of 1938

Serial No

The main file on the subject was sent to the Law Dept for opinion on 27th June 1930 & has not yet been returned. This may be sent to Mal- Dept in continuation for timely expediting the matter

6/1/31 Law Deptt

6.1.31

This file was submitted with legal opinion by the L R to the 7th meeting on 23/12/30

(S Q)

10/1/31

8/1

NOTE—Cover Sheet

WD 02f 3—C PB—50 000—8 33